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After a brief reversal during the crisis, net capital inflows to EMEs rebounded.

Source: IIF
Note: Estimates used for 2010 data, Forecasts for 2011 and 2012
Background

- Capital flows to EMEs rebounded in 2010
- Major EMEs tightened inflow controls
- Capital Flow Measures (CFMs) can have global implications:
  - Distort allocation of capital
  - Distort currency valuations
- Therefore, IMF has proposed a framework for “need to impose CFMs”
3 Questions

1. Are we seeing a rise in Capital Flow Measures (CFMs) since the crisis?

2. Are CFMs being used as last resort?

3. Are CFMs being used for prudential reasons?
Presentation Plan

• Concepts:
  – What are CFMs?
  – What kind of data do we have on CFMs?
  – How can we classify CFMs for economic analysis?

• 3 Questions
What are **Capital Flow Measures (CFMs)**?

- Regulations on the capital account
- Examples:
  - Tax on non-resident investments in Brazil *(Capital Control)*
  - Differential reserve requirements on foreign currency deposits *(Currency Based Measure)*
- We count the number of new measures
Data

• 21 MSCI EMEs + Argentina (G-20)
• 2004 - 2010
• Data source: IMF’s AREAER + central bank websites + news sources
• Data point: Each change in a capital account regulation: “Policy changes/announcements”
Data

• “Small changes”
  – Major policy announcements are broken up into categories of transactions that they affect (in AREAER) and each is counted separately.
Data

• “Small changes”

• Example: 14 March 2005, Brazil
  – **Controls on capital and money market instruments**: an elimination of the limit on *investment in shares* of the main company by employees of firms belonging to foreign groups;
  – **Controls on direct investment**: an elimination of the limit on remittances for outward *FDI* by non-financial private enterprises;
  – **Controls on credit operations**: a removal of the authorization requirement for guarantees by non-financial judicial persons in *credit operations* for their foreign subsidiaries.
Data: Relation to existing literature

• De-jure indices of capital controls (Chinn and Ito, 2008; Quinn, 1997; Edwards, 2004).
• Use information on existence of each type of regulation.
• This paper: change in each type of regulation.
All new capital account measures
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Economic classification of CFMs

1. Impact on Net Capital Inflows (NKI)

2. Impact on capital account openness (Easings vs. Tightenings)
Classification of CFMs based on impact on NKI

NKI = Inflows – Outflows

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inflow Controls</th>
<th>Outflow Controls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1. NKI Reducing Measures</strong></td>
<td>Tighten</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2. NKI Increasing Measures</strong></td>
<td>Ease</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[\text{Net NKI Restricting Measures} = \text{NKI Reducing Measures} - \text{NKI Increasing Measures}\]
Net NKI Restricting Measures spiked in 2010

Number of New Measures

- Net NKI Restricting Measures
- Net Private Capital Inflows (right scale)
Compositional differences between 2007 and 2010 spikes in Net NKI Restricting Measures

- Tightening of Inflows
- No Easing of Inflows

Easing of Outflows
Trend towards capital account liberalization stalled post crisis.

Robustness checks
www.bankofcanada.ca
1. Are we seeing a rise in CFMs?

- Yes, but a similar rise took place in 2007

- Key difference in post-crisis period:
  - Stall in capital account liberalizations

- Implications for:
  - Global resource allocation
  - Resolution of global imbalances
3 Questions

1. Are we seeing a rise in Capital Flow Measures (CFMs)?

2. Are CFMs being used as **last resort**?

3. Are CFMs being used for prudential reasons?
2. Are CFMs being used as last resort?

• IMF criteria for “need to impose CFMs”:
  1. Economy overheating (and no scope for fiscal consolidation)
  2. Exchange rate not undervalued
  3. Reserves adequate
## Example: Brazil, 2007 or 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Numerical Criteria</th>
<th>Policy Options</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Economy overheating</td>
<td>Reduce rates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exchange rate not undervalued</td>
<td>Allow appreciation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserves adequate</td>
<td>Accumulate reserves</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Impose CFMs</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Percentage of inflow tightening measures being used as last resort is low.
2. Are CFMs being used as last resort?

Usually not.
3 Questions
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3. Are CFMs being used for prudential reasons?

- Percentage of all measures imposed during “non-FDI gross inflow surges”
- Percentage of all measures that are “prudential type”
Inflow Tightening Measures in 2 Types of Surges

- NKI:
  - Surge: 13%
  - No Surge: 87%

- Non-FDI Gross Inflows:
  - Surge: 56%
  - No Surge: 44%
3. Are CFMs being used for prudential reasons?

• Percentage of all measures imposed during “non-FDI gross inflow surges”

• Percentage of all measures that are “prudential type”
Most measures are pure capital controls, not prudential-type measures.
Countries differ in their reliance on pure capital controls

Pure Capital Controls (CCOT) as % of All Measures
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3. Are CFMs being used for prudential reasons?

• Apparently not
• Share of prudential type measures has increased - but not much.
  – Differences between countries.
Conclusions

• Rise in net NKI restricting measures in 2010:
  – Represents a halt in capital account liberalizations
  – Trend towards global financial integration has stalled

• CFMs are not being used as measures of the last resort.

• Small shift from non-prudential type measures to prudential type measures
Thank you!
Data

• 8 AREAER categories under “capital transactions”:
  • Controls on capital and money market instruments:
  • Controls on derivatives and other instruments
  • Controls on credit operations:
  • Controls on direct investment
  • Controls on liquidation of direct investment
  • Controls on real estate transactions
  • Controls on personal capital transactions
  • Provisions specific to the financial sector:
# Changes during 2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Arrangements for payments and receipts</th>
<th>01/01/2009</th>
<th>Participants in the ACU were allowed to settle transactions either in ACU dollars or ACU euros.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Imports and import payments</td>
<td>01/01/2009</td>
<td>Participants in the ACU were allowed to settle transactions either in ACU dollars or ACU euros.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Capital transactions</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls on capital and money market instruments</td>
<td>01/02/2009</td>
<td>The limit on investments by FIIIs in corporate bonds was raised from $6 billion to $15 billion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls on credit operations</td>
<td>01/02/2009</td>
<td>The all-in-cost ceiling on external commercial borrowing under the approval route was removed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>04/28/2009</td>
<td>Banks were not allowed to grant new loans or renew existing loans in excess of Rs 10 million (previously, Rs 2 million) against nonresident external rupee (NR(E)RA) and FCNR deposits either to the depositor or third parties. Banks have also been advised not to undertake artificial slicing of the loan amount to circumvent the ceiling.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Provisions specific to the financial sector</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provisions specific to commercial banks and other credit institutions</td>
<td>01/17/2009</td>
<td>The CRR for nonresident deposits, in both local and foreign currency, was reduced to 5.0% from 5.5% of net demand and time liabilities.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NKI Increasing Measures have dropped since the crisis.
In particular, inflow liberalizations have stopped.
Composition of NKI Reducing Measures has changed since the crisis.
The main instrument for reducing NKI is no longer outflow liberalization.
Percentage of total measures, by country
Easings and Tightenings: excluding India and Peru
Robustness Check - Exclude Peru

![Net NKI Reducing Measures](chart1)

![Net NKI Reducing Measures - Excluding Peru](chart2)
Robustness Check – exclude Peru
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Brazil was not alone in taking net NKI restricting measures in 2010
3. Are CFMs being used for prudential reasons?

**capital inflow surge**

- **primary responses**
  - macroeconomic concerns
    - macro policies
      - exchange rate; reserves; monetary-fiscal policy mix
  - financial - stability risks
    - prudential policies
      - prudential measures, including FX related
    - residual risks?
      - rationalize/ impose/ intensify capital controls/ discriminatory prudential measures
3. Are CFMs being used for prudential reasons?

- **Capital inflow surge**
  - **Capital Flow Measures**: Rationalize/impose/intensify capital controls/discriminatory prudential measures
  - **Residual risks?**
  - **Primary responses**
    - **Macroeconomic concerns**: Exchange rate; reserves; monetary-fiscal policy mix
    - **Financial-stability risks**: Prudential policies, including FX related

**Capital Flow Measures**
Classification of CFMs

• **Currency Based Measures:**
  – Prudential Type (CBPT)
  – Other Type (CBOT)

• **Capital Controls:**
  – Prudential Type (CCPT)
  – Other Type (CCOT)
Brazil, overall policy direction: inflow and outflow measures
China, overall policy direction: inflow and outflow measures
India, overall policy direction: inflow and outflow measures
Russia, overall policy direction: inflow and outflow measures
Korea, overall policy direction: inflow and outflow measures
Inflow Tightening Measures in 2 Types of Surges

% of Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Surge</th>
<th>No Surge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NKI</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-FDI Gross Inflows</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>